

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,310	7/781,310 02/13/2001		Joseph Breeden	P 272852 7942	
27160	7590	06/14/2004		EXAMINER	
		STRATOR	COLBERT, ELLA		
KATTEN I 525 WEST		ZAVIS ROSENMAI E STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 160	-		3624		
CHICAGO	, IL 606	61-3693	DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/781,310	BREEDEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ella Colbert	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	be timely filed  days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-66 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order and or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
<b>.</b> *			
Attachment(s)	<b>∧</b> □	(DTO 442)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9.</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:		

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/781,310

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-69 are pending.
- 2. The Change of Address filed 11/18/02 has been entered as paper no. 8.
- 3. The IDS filed 06/02/03 has been entered as paper no. 9.

# **Drawings**

4. New corrected drawings are required in this application because Figure 8 has element 69 missing and Figure 9 has element 101 missing. The Specification on page 17, line 23 references "..., then blocks 100-102 maybe bypassed." This step is omitted in the drawing. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. Claims 44-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In particular,
- A. Claim 44 is not sufficiently precise due to the combining of two separate statutory classes of invention in a single claim. The preamble of claim 44 refers to a system, but the body of the claim discusses the specifics of method steps (ex. a database directory containing user information and a user community administration tool to manage the

Application/Control Number: 09/781,310

Art Unit: 3624

user information), and subsequently the claim deals with the specifics of a system (ex. a first computing unit).

B. Claims 45-54 are rejected as being dependent on claim 44 as discussed above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 7. Claims 1-23 and 27-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as non-statutory. The method claims as presented do not claim a technological basis in the body of the claim. Without a claimed basis, the claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. In contrast, a method claim that includes in the body of the claim at least one structural/functional interrelationship which can only be computer implemented is considered to have technological bases [See Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) —used only for content and reasoning since not precedential].
- 8. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as non-statutory. The method and system claims as presented do not claim a technological basis in the body of the claim. Without a claimed basis, the claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. In contrast, a method claim that includes in the body of the claim

Application/Control Number: 09/781,310

Art Unit: 3624

at least one structural/functional interrelationship which can only be computer implemented is considered to have technological bases [See Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) –used only for content and reasoning since not precedential]. According to the preamble of claim 24, it cannot be determined whether the claims are method claims or system claims. Since the body of the claim has no technological basis it is assumed the claims are method claims. Suggestion to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection: Either have the preamble read "A computer-implemented method for providing delegated administration of a user community" or "A client system for providing delegated administration of a user community, comprising:" and for the body of the claim to read "at the computer, specifying the user community

9. Claims 55-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as non-statutory. The system claims as presented do not claim a technological basis in the body of the claims. Without a claimed basis, the claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. In contrast, a method claim that includes in the body of the claim at least one structural/functional interrelationship which can only be computer implemented is considered to have technological bases [See Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) –used only for content and reasoning since not precedential].

Art Unit: 3624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

10. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

11. Claims 44-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

35 USC 101 requires that in order to be patentable the invention must be a "new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof" (emphasis added). Applicants' claims mentioned above are intended to embrace or overlap two different statutory classes of invention as set forth in 35 USC 101. The claims begin by discussing a system (ex. Preamble of claim 44), the body of the claim discusses the specifics of method steps, and subsequently the claim then deals with the specifics of a system, a first computing unit (see above rejection of claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, for specific details regarding this issue). "A claim of this type is precluded by the express language of 35 USC 101 which is drafted so as to set forth the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only", Ex parte Lyell (17 USPQ2d 1548).

### Inquiries

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 703-308-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 am -5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1038. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 9. 2004